

SUMMARY INFORMATION

BALLOT LABELS/SUMMARY:

The ballot label language prepared by the Attorney General is used as a summary located in the ballot measure summary section.

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

("yes" and "no" information prepared by the Legislative Analyst).

50-WORD SUMMARY (PRO AND CON)

PRO AND CON CONTACT INFORMATION

(Whom to contact for additional information).

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

~~PROPOSITION 58~~

~~Date: July 25, 2003~~

~~Funds Dedicated for State and Local Infrastructure~~

~~Legislative Constitutional Amendment~~

~~Resolution Chapter 185, Statutes of 2002 (ACA 11)~~

~~Sponsors: Assembly Members Keith Richmond~~

~~and Joseph Cascione~~

BALLOT LABEL

FUNDS DEDICATED FOR STATE AND LOCAL INFRASTRUCTURE. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

Generally dedicates up to 3% of General Fund revenues annually to fund state and local (excluding school and community college) infrastructure projects. Fiscal Impact: Dedication of General Fund revenues for state and local infrastructure. Potential transfers of \$850 million in 2006-07, increasing to several billions of dollars in future years, under specified conditions.

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

~~PROPOSITION 54~~

~~Date: July 25, 2003~~

~~Classification by Race,~~

~~Ethnicity, Color, or National Origin~~

~~Initiative Constitutional Amendment~~

~~Proponent: Kevin Nguyen~~

BALLOT LABEL

CLASSIFICATION BY RACE, ETHNICITY, COLOR, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

Prohibits state and local governments from classifying any person by race, ethnicity, color, or national origin. Various exemptions apply. Fiscal Impact: The measure would not result in a significant fiscal impact on state and local governments.

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

Proposition 53

**California Twenty-First Century Infrastructure Investment Fund
Resolution Chapter 185, Statutes of 2002 (ACA 11, Richman)**

Yes/No Statement

A **YES** vote on this measure means: The state would be required to dedicate a portion of annual General Fund revenues for direct appropriations (or "pay-as-you-go" spending) on state and local infrastructure projects. (Currently, most General Fund support of infrastructure projects is provided through debt payments on bonds.)

A **NO** vote on this measure means: The state could spend, on an annual basis, whatever amount it deemed appropriate on General Fund "pay-as-you-go" infrastructure projects.

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

Proposition 54
Classification by Race, Ethnicity, Color, or National Origin.
Initiative Constitutional Amendment

Yes/No Statement

A **YES** vote on this measure means: State and local government agencies could not collect and use some race-related information.

A **NO** vote on this measure means: State and local government agencies would have no additional restrictions on the collection and use of race-related information.

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

BALLOT MEASURE SUMMARY INFORMATION

Argument in favor/against (circle one) of proposition # 53

Include text of summary argument here (50 word maximum).

"Whom to Contact for More Information".

(This information will appear in the Voter Information Guide)

Contact Name: Dan Pellissier

Organization: Yes on California's Future, Yes on Prop 53

Address: 1717 I Street

Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 444-5701

E-mail: Info@yeson53.org

Web site: www.Yeson53.org

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

Summary argument

The Legislature has neglected the infrastructure our economy needs. Proposition 53 will build the public university classrooms, public hospitals, roadways and bridges, water projects, flood control, and law enforcement facilities essential to California's prosperity, safety and quality of life *without raising taxes*. California voters must protect their future. Yes on Proposition 53.

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

BALLOT MEASURE SUMMARY INFORMATION

Argument in favor against (circle one) of proposition # 53.

Include text of summary argument here (50 word maximum):

"Whom to Contact for More Information".

(This information will appear in the Voter Information Guide)

Contact Name:

Lenny Goldberg

Organization:

California Tax Reform Association

Address:

926 J St., Suite 710

Sacramento

Phone:

916.446.4300

E-mail:

Web site:

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

This locks into the Constitution billions of dollars of spending increases in public works projects with no accountability -- even though we face massive budget deficits. None of the money can be spent on school or community college construction projects. We should balance the budget before spending billions more. Vote NO!

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

BALLOT MEASURE SUMMARY INFORMATION

Argument in favor against (circle one) of proposition # 54.

Include text of summary argument here (50 word maximum):

"Whom to Contact for More Information".

(This information will appear in the Voter Information Guide)

Contact Name: Diane Schachterle
Organization: American Civil Rights Coalition
Address: P.O. Box 189113
Sacramento CA 95818
Phone: 916-444-2278
E-mail: ds@acri.org
Web site: www.racialprivacy.org

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

50 Word Summary Prop 54

Generally prohibits the state from classifying individuals by race, ethnicity, color or national origin in areas of public education, contacting or employment. Classifying in other state operations may be authorized by 2/3 vote of legislature. Exemptions:

Department of Fair Employment & Housing, law enforcement, all medical and healthcare subject matter.

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

BALLOT MEASURE SUMMARY INFORMATION

Argument in favor/against (circle one) of proposition # 54.

Include text of summary argument here (50 word maximum):

"Whom to Contact for More Information".

(This information will appear in the Voter Information Guide)

Contact Name: ED LEE
Organization: COALITION FOR AN INFORMED CALIFORNIA
Address: 1611 TELEGRAPH AVENUE, SUITE 317
OAKLAND CA 94612
Phone: 510-452-2728
E-mail: ed@caljustice.org
Web site: www.informedcalifornia.org

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

BRIEF ARGUMENT FOR BALLOT – 50 WORDS

Proposition 54 works against the interests of all Californians. Banning access to ethnic data will harm healthcare programs, reduce school accountability, and hurt law enforcement. Proposition 54 is OPPOSED by law enforcement leaders, educators, the California Medical Association and more than 40 other health groups. Vote NO on Proposition 54.

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**